ARTICLE I: GENERAL PROVISIONS

These rules of evidence are supplemented by any specific stipulations and comments provided in the current case, the Mock Trial Rules and Procedures, and any other documents issued by the NJC Planning committee.

Rule 101: SCOPE
These Rules of Evidence govern the trial proceedings of high school mock trial competitions in the YMCA Virtual Nationwide Judicial Conference.

RULE 102: PURPOSE AND CONSTRUCTION
These Rules are intended to secure fairness in administration of the trials, eliminate unjust delay, and promote the laws of evidence so that the truth may be ascertained.

ARTICLE IV: RELEVANCY AND ITS LIMITS

RULE 401: DEFINITION OF “RELEVANT EVIDENCE”
“Relevant evidence” means evidence having any tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more probable or less probable than it would be without the evidence.

RULE 402: RELEVANT EVIDENCE GENERALLY ADMISSIBLE; IRRELEVANT EVIDENCE NOT ADMISSIBLE
All relevant evidence is admissible, except as otherwise provided in these Rules. Irrelevant evidence is not admissible.
RULE 403: EXCLUSION OF RELEVANT EVIDENCE ON GROUNDS OF PREJUDICE, CONFUSION, OR WASTE OF TIME
Although relevant, evidence may be excluded if its probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, if it confuses the issues, if it is misleading, or if it causes undue delay, wastes time, or is a needless presentation of cumulative evidence.

RULE 404: CHARACTER EVIDENCE NOT ADMISSIBLE TO PROVE CONDUCT; EXCEPTIONS; OTHER CRIMES
a. Character Evidence. Evidence of a person’s character or character trait, is not admissible to prove action regarding a particular occasion, except:
   1. Character of accused. Evidence of a pertinent character trait offered by an accused, or by the prosecution to rebut same;
   2. Character of victim. Evidence of a pertinent character trait of the victim of the crime offered by an accused, or by the prosecution to rebut same, or evidence of a character trait of peacefulness of the victim offered by the prosecution in a homicide case to rebut evidence that the victim was the aggressor;
   3. Character of witness. Evidence of the character of a witness may be admitted as provided in Rules 607, 608 and 609.

b. Other crimes, wrongs, or acts. -- Evidence of other crimes, wrongs, or acts is not admissible to prove character of a person in order to show an action conforms to character. It may, however, be admissible for other purposes, such as proof of motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, or absence of mistake or accident.

RULE 405: METHODS OF PROVING CHARACTER
a. Reputation or opinion. In all cases where evidence of character or a character trait is admissible, proof may be made by testimony as to reputation or in the form of an opinion. On cross-examination, questions may be asked regarding relevant, specific conduct.

b. Specific instances of conduct. In cases where character or a character trait is an essential element of a charge, claim, or defense, proof may also be made of specific instances of that person’s conduct.

RULE 406: HABIT; ROUTINE PRACTICE
Evidence of the habit of a person or the routine practice of an organization, whether corroborated or not and regardless of the presence of eye-witnesses, is relevant to prove that the conduct of the person or organization, on a particular occasion, was in conformity with the habit or routine practice.
RULE 407: SUBSEQUENT REMEDIAL MEASURES
When measures are taken after an event which, if taken before, would have made the event less likely to occur; evidence of the subsequent measures is not admissible to prove negligence or culpable conduct in connection with the event. This rule does not require the exclusion of evidence of subsequent measures when offered for another purpose; such as proving ownership, control, or feasibility of precautionary measures, if controverted, or impeachment.

RULE 408: COMPROMISE AND OFFERS TO COMPROMISE
Evidence of (1) furnishing or offering or promising to furnish, or (2) accepting or offering or promising to accept, a valuable consideration in compromising or attempting to compromise a claim which was disputed as to either validity or amount, is not admissible to prove liability for or invalidity of the claim or its amount. Evidence of conduct or statements made in compromise negotiations is likewise not admissible. This rule does not require the exclusion of any evidence otherwise discoverable merely because it is presented in the course of compromise negotiations.

This rule also does not require exclusion when the evidence is offered for another purpose, such as proving bias or prejudice of a witness, negating a contention of undue delay, or proving an effort to obstruct investigation or prosecution.

RULE 409:
PAYMENT OF MEDICAL OR SIMILAR EXPENSES
Evidence of furnishing or offering or promising to pay medical, hospital, or similar expenses occasioned by an injury is not admissible to prove liability for the injury.

RULE 410:
INADMISSIBILITY OF PLEAS, PLEA DISCUSSIONS, AND RELATED STATEMENTS
Except as otherwise provided in this Rule, evidence of the following is not, in any civil or criminal proceeding, admissible against a defendant who made the plea or was a participant in the plea discussions:
1. a plea of guilty which was later withdrawn;
2. a plea of nolo contendere;
3. any statement made in the course of any proceeding under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure or comparable state procedure regarding either of the foregoing pleas; or
4. any statement made in the course of plea discussions made in the course of plea discussions with an attorney for the prosecuting authority which do not result in a plea of guilty or which result in a plea of guilty which is later withdrawn. However, such a statement is admissible (i) in any proceeding wherein another statement made in the course of the same plea or plea
discussions has been introduced and the statement ought, in fairness, be considered with it, or (ii) in a criminal proceeding for perjury or false statement if the statement was made by the defendant under oath, on the record and in the presence of counsel.

RULE 411:
LIABILITY INSURANCE
Evidence that a person was or was not insured against liability is not admissible upon the issue whether the person acted negligently or otherwise wrongfully. This rule does not require the exclusion of evidence of insurance against liability when offered for another purpose, such as proof of agency, ownership, or control, or bias or prejudice of a witness.

ARTICLE V: PRIVILEGES

RULE 501: GENERAL RULE
There are certain admissions and communications excluded from evidence on grounds of public policy. Among these are confidential communications between:
   1. husband and wife;
   2. attorney and client;
   3. physician and patient; and
   4. priest and penitent.

ARTICLE VI: WITNESSES

RULE 601: GENERAL RULE OF COMPETENCY
Every person is competent to be a witness.

RULE 602: LACK OF PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE
A witness may not testify to a matter unless the witness has personal knowledge of the matter. Evidence to prove personal knowledge may, but need not, consist of the witness’s own testimony. This rule is subject to the provisions of Rule 703, related to opinion testimony by expert witnesses.

RULE 607: WHO MAY IMPEACH
The credibility of a witness may be attacked by any party, including the party calling the witness.

RULE 608: EVIDENCE OF CHARACTER AND CONDUCT OF WITNESS
a. Opinion and reputation evidence of character. The credibility of a witness may be attacked or supported by evidence in the form of opinion or reputation, but
subject to these limitations:

1. the evidence may refer only to character for truthfulness or untruthfulness, and
2. evidence of truthful character is admissible only after the character of the witness for truthfulness has been attacked by opinion or reputation evidence, or otherwise.

b. Specific instances of conduct. Specific instances of the conduct of a witness, for the purpose of attacking or supporting the witness’ credibility, other than conviction of crime as provided in Rule 609, may not be proved by extrinsic evidence. They may, however, in the discretion of the Court, if probative of truthfulness or untruthfulness, be asked on cross-examination of the witness (1) concerning the witness’ character for truthfulness or untruthfulness, or (2) concerning the character for truthfulness or untruthfulness of another witness as to which character the witness being cross-examined has testified. Testimony, whether by an accused or by any other witness, does not operate as a waiver of the accused’s or the witness’ privilege against self-incrimination with respect to matters related only to credibility.

RULE 609: IMPEACHMENT BY EVIDENCE OF CONVICTION OF CRIME; TIME LIMITS

a. For the purpose of attacking the credibility of a witness in a criminal or civil case, evidence that the witness had been convicted of a crime shall be admitted if elicited from the witness or established by public record during examination of the witness but only if the crime (1) was punishable by death or imprisonment in excess of one year under the law under which the witness was convicted, and the court determines that the probative value of admitting the evidence outweighs the prejudice to the party against whom the evidence is offered, or (2) involved dishonesty or false statement, regardless of the punishment.

b. Evidence of a conviction under this rule is not admissible if a period of more than 10 years has elapsed since the date of the conviction or of the release of the witness from the confinement imposed for that conviction, whichever is the later date, unless the court determines, in the interests of justice, that the probative value of the conviction supported by specific facts and circumstances substantially outweighs its prejudicial effect. However, evidence of a conviction more than 10 years old as calculated herein, is not admissible unless the proponent gives to the adverse party sufficient advance written notice of intent to use such evidence to provide the adverse party with a fair opportunity to contest the use of such evidence.

c. Effect of pardon, annulment, or certificate of rehabilitation. Evidence of a conviction is not admissible if (1) the conviction has been the subject of a pardon or other equivalent procedure based on a finding of the rehabilitation of the person convicted of a subsequent crime which was punishable by death or imprisonment in excess of one year, or (2) the conviction has been the subject of a pardon, other equivalent procedure based on a finding of innocence.
d. Juvenile adjudications. Evidence of juvenile adjudications is generally not admissible under this rule. The court may, however, in a criminal case allow evidence of a juvenile adjudication of a witness other than the accused if conviction of the offense would be admissible to attack the credibility of an adult and the court is satisfied that admission in evidence is necessary for a fair determination of the issue of guilt or innocence.

RULE 610: RELIGIOUS BELIEFS OR OPINIONS
Evidence of the beliefs or opinions of a witness on matters of religion is not admissible for the purpose of showing that by reason of their nature the witness’ credibility is impaired or enhanced.

RULE 611: MODE AND ORDER OF INTERROGATION AND PRESENTATION
a. Control by Court. The Court shall exercise reasonable control over questioning of witnesses and presenting evidence so as to (1) make the questioning and presentation effective for ascertaining the truth, (2) to avoid needless use of time, and (3) protect witnesses from harassment or undue embarrassment.

b. Scope of cross examination. The scope of cross examination shall not be limited to the scope of the direct examination, but may inquire into any relevant facts or matters contained in the witness’ statement, including all reasonable inferences that can be drawn from those facts and matters, and may inquire into any omissions from the witness statement that are otherwise material and admissible.

c. Leading questions. Leading questions should not be used on direct examination of a witness (except as may be necessary to develop the witness’ testimony). Ordinarily, leading questions are permitted on cross-examination. When a party calls a hostile witness, an adverse party, or a witness identified with an adverse party, leading questions may be used.

d. Redirect/Recross. After cross examination, additional questions may be asked by the direct examining attorney, but questions must be limited to matters raised by the attorney on cross examination. Likewise, additional questions may be asked by the cross examining attorney on recross, but such questions must be limited to matters raised on redirect examination and should avoid repetition.

RULE 612: WRITING USED TO REFRESH MEMORY
If a written statement is used to refresh the memory of a witness either while or before testifying, the Court shall determine that the adverse party is entitled to have the writing produced for inspection. The adverse party may cross-examine the witness on the material and introduce into evidence those portions which relate to the testimony of the witness.
RULE 613: PRIOR STATEMENTS OF WITNESSES
In examining a witness concerning a prior statement made by the witness, whether written or not, the statement need not be shown nor its contents disclosed to the witness at that time, but on request the same shall be shown or disclosed to opposing counsel.

Extrinsic evidence of a prior inconsistent statement by a witness is not admissible unless the witness is afforded an opportunity to explain or deny the same, and the opposite party is afforded an opportunity to interrogate.

ARTICLE VII: OPINIONS AND EXPERT TESTIMONY

RULE 701: OPINION TESTIMONY BY LAY WITNESS
If the witness is not testifying as an expert, the witness’ testimony in the form of opinions or inferences is limited to those opinions or inferences which are (a) rationally based on the perception of the witness and (b) helpful to a clear understanding of the witness’ testimony or the determination of a fact in issue.

RULE 702: TESTIMONY BY EXPERTS
If scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge will assist the trier of fact to understand the evidence or to determine a fact in issue, a witness qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education, may testify in the form of an opinion or otherwise.

RULE 703: BASES OF OPINION TESTIMONY BY EXPERTS
The facts or data upon which an expert bases an opinion may be those perceived by or made known to the expert at or before the hearing. If of a type reasonably relied upon by experts in the field in forming opinions or inferences, the facts or data need not be admissible in evidence.

RULE 704: OPINION ON ULTIMATE ISSUE
a. Opinion or inference testimony otherwise admissible is not objectionable because it embraces an issue to be decided by the trier of fact.
b. In a criminal case, an expert witness shall not express an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the accused.

RULE 705: DISCLOSURE OF FACTS OF DATA UNDERLYING EXPERT OPINION
The expert may testify in terms of opinion or inference and give reasons therefore without prior disclosure of the underlying facts or data, unless the Court requires otherwise. The expert may in any event be required to disclose the underlying facts or data on cross-examination.
ARTICLE VIII: HEARSAY

RULE 801: DEFINITIONS
The following definitions apply under this article:

a. Statement. A “statement” is an oral or written assertion or nonverbal conduct of a person, if it is intended by the person as an assertion.

b. Declarant. A “declarant” is a person who makes a statement.

c. Hearsay. “Hearsay” is a statement, other than one made by the declarant while testifying at the trial or hearing, offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted.

d. Statements which are not hearsay. A statement is not hearsay if:
   1. Prior statement by witness. The declarant testifies at the trial or hearing and is subject to cross examination concerning the statement and the statement is:
      A. inconsistent with the declarant’s testimony, and was given under oath subject to the penalty of perjury at a trial, hearing, or other proceeding, or in a deposition, or
      B. consistent with the declarant’s testimony and is offered to rebut an express or implied charge against the declarant of recent fabrication or improper influence or motive, or
      C. one of identification of a person made after perceiving the person; or
   2. Admission by a party-opponent. The statement is offered against a party and is:
      A. the party’s own statement in either an individual or a representative capacity, or
      B. a statement of which the party has manifested an adoption or belief in its truth, or
      C. a statement by a person authorized by the party to make a statement concerning the subject, or
      D. a statement by the party’s agent or servant concerning a matter within the scope of the agency or employment, made during the existence of the relationship, or
      E. a statement by a co-conspirator of a party during the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy.

RULE 802: HEARSAY RULE
Hearsay is not admissible, except as provided by these rules.
RULE 803: HEARSAY EXCEPTIONS, AVAILABILITY OF DECLARANT IMMATURAL

The following are not excluded by the hearsay rule, even though the declarant is available as a witness:

1. Present sense impression. A statement describing or explaining an event or condition made while the declarant was perceiving the event or condition, or immediately thereafter.

2. Excited utterance. A statement relating to a startling event or condition made while the declarant was under the stress of excitement caused by the event or condition.

3. Then-existing mental, emotional, or physical conditions. A statement of the declarant’s then-existing state of mind, emotion, sensation, or physical condition (such as intent, plan, motive, design, mental feeling, pain, and bodily health), but not including a statement of memory or belief to prove the fact remembered or believed unless it relates to the execution, revocation, identification, or terms of declarant’s will.


5. Recorded Recollection. A memorandum or record concerning a matter about which a witness once had knowledge but now has insufficient recollection to enable the witness to testify fully and accurately, shown to have been made or adopted by the witness when the matter was fresh in the witness’ memory and to reflect that knowledge correctly.

6. Records of regularly conducted activity. A memorandum, report, record, or data compilation, in any form, of acts, events, conditions, opinions, or diagnoses, made at or near the time by, or from information transmitted by, a person with knowledge, if kept in the course of a regularly conducted business activity, and if it was the regular practice of that business activity to make the memorandum, report, record, or data compilation, all as shown by the testimony of the custodian or other qualified witness, unless the source of information or the method or circumstances of preparation indicate lack of trustworthiness. The term “business” as used in this paragraph includes business, institution, association, profession, occupation, and calling of every kind, whether or not conducted for profit.

18. Learned treatises. To the extent called to the attention of an expert witness upon cross examination or relied upon by the expert witness in direct examination, statements contained in published treatises, periodicals, or pamphlets on a subject of history, medicine, or other science or art, established as a reliable authority by the testimony or admission of the witness or by other expert testimony or by judicial notice.

21. Reputation as to character. A reputation among a person’s associates or in the community concerning the person’s character.

22. Judgment of previous conviction. Evidence of a judgment finding a person guilty of a crime punishable by death or imprisonment in excess of one year, to prove
any fact essential to sustain the judgment, but not including, when offered by the
government in a criminal prosecution for purposes other than impeachment,
judgments against persons other than the accused.

RULE 804: HEARSAY EXCEPTIONS; DECLARANT UNAVAILABLE

a. Definition of unavailability. “Unavailability as a witness” includes situations in
which the declarant:
1. Is exempted by ruling of the court on the ground of privilege from testifying
   concerning the subject matter of the declarant’s statement; or
2. Persists in refusing to testify concerning the subject matter of the declarant’s
   statement despite an order of the court to do so; or
3. Testifies to a lack of memory of the subject matter of the declarant’s
   statement; or
4. Is unable to be present or to testify at the hearing because of death or then-
   existing physical or mental illness or infirmity; or
5. Is absent from the hearing and the proponent of a statement has been unable
   to procure the declarant’s attendance (or in the case of a hearsay exception
   under subdivision (b) (2), (3), or (4), the declarant’s attendance or testimony)
   by process or other reasonable means. A declarant is not unavailable as a
   witness if exemption, refusal, claim of lack of memory, inability, or absence is
   due to the procurement or wrongdoing of the proponent of a statement for
   the purpose of preventing the witness from attending or testifying.

b. Hearsay exceptions. The following are not excluded by the hearsay rule if the
   declarant is unavailable as a witness:
1. Former testimony. Testimony given as a witness at another hearing of the
   same or a different proceeding, or in a deposition taken in compliance with
   law in the course of the same or another proceeding, if the party against
   whom the testimony is now offered, or in a civil action or proceeding, a
   predecessor in interest, had an opportunity and similar motive to develop the
   testimony by direct, cross, or redirect examination.
2. Statement under belief of impending death. In a prosecution for homicide or
   in a civil action or proceeding, a statement made by a declarant, while
   believing that the declarant’s death was imminent, and concerning the cause
   or circumstances of what the declarant believed to be impending death.
3. Statement against interest. A statement which was at the time of its making
   so far contrary to the declarant’s pecuniary or proprietary interest, or so far
   tended to subject the declarant to civil or criminal liability, or to render
   invalid a claim by the declarant against another, that a reasonable person in
   the declarant’s position would not have made the statement unless believing
   it to be true. A statement tending to expose the declarant to criminal liability
   and offered to exculpate the accused is not admissible unless corroborating
   circumstances clearly indicate the trustworthiness of the statement.
4. Statement of personal or family history. (A) A statement concerning the declarant's own birth, adoption, marriage, divorce, legitimacy, relationship by blood, adoption, or marriage, ancestry, or other similar fact of personal or family history, even though declarant had no means of acquiring personal knowledge of the matter stated; (B) a statement concerning the foregoing matters, and death also, of another person, if the declarant was related to the other by blood, adoption, or marriage or was so intimately associated with the other's family as to be likely to have accurate information concerning the matter declared.

5. Forfeiture by wrongdoing. A statement offered against a party that has engaged or acquiesced in wrongdoing that was intended to, and did, procure the unavailability of the declarant as a witness.

RULE 805: HEARSAY WITHIN HEARSAY
Hearsay included within hearsay is not excluded under the hearsay rule if each part of the combined statement conforms with an exception to the hearsay rule provided in these rules.